NYNEX Government Affairs 1300 I Street NW Suite 400 West Washington DC 20005 202 336 7911

G R Evans Vice President Federal Regulatory Affairs

TO THE OR LATE FILED



March 1, 1996

RECEIVED

MAR - 1 1996

FEDERAL COMMISSION DONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Ex Parte

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room 222 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RE: CC Docket 95-116;CC Docket No. 95-185; CC Docket No 94-54

Dear Mr. Caton:

Yesterday, Mr. T. Tauke, Mr. F. Gumper, Ms. D Haraldson and Mr. W. Allan and I, representing NYNEX, met with Chairman Hundt, Mr. J. Nakahata, Mr. J. Farrell, Mr. D. Gips, Ms. R. Keeney, Mr. R. Metzger, and Mr. D. Ellen. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss general issues relating to implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. During the discussion, the NYNEX representatives reviewed NYNEX's position in the above-captioned proceeding on Number Portability and stated that for purposes of implementing the legislation, the FCC should allow interim number portability arrangements. NYNEX expressed the view that it was premature to make a decision on the appropriate technology for long term number portability at this time. The attached material was used in the discussion.

The NYNEX representatives also discussed various methods of recriprocal compensation for interconnecting local exchange carriers, including CMRS providers. NYNEX representatives expressed the opinion that CMRS providers fell under Section 251 of the Act and, therefore, issues or recriprocal compensation should be left to the carrier negotiation process.

Since

Attachment

cc: Chairman Hundt

D. Ellen

J. Farrell

D. Gips

R. Keeney

J. Nakahata

R. Metzger

No. of Copies rec'd O+ | List ABCDE



MINIST Description

INTERCONNECTION: NUMBER PORTABILITY

PRINCIPLES:

- » Act prescribes service number portability
 - » Act permits interim number portability

INTERIM NUMBER PORTABILITY

» RECOMMENDATIONS:

- FCC should recognize workable interim solutions (RCF and DID) and not preclude other technologies
 - Negotiations/state mediation and arbitration; preempt where required under Section 253
- available within 90 days of completion of this rulemaking a



INTERCONNECTION: NUMBER PORTABILITY STATUS

STATE	TYPE
NEW YORK	NYNEX: DID & RCF
	ROCHESTER: DID & RCF
MASSACHUSETTS	RCF & DID
CALIFORNIA	RCF & DID
WASHINGTON	RCF & DID
CONNECTICUT	RCF & DID
MICHIGAN	RCF & DID
ILLINOIS	RCF & DID
MARYLAND	RCF & DID

INTERCONNECTION: NUMBER PORTABILITY (cont'd)

LONG TERM NUMBER PORTABILITY RECOMMENDATIONS:

- » Establish firm schedule for rulemaking using existing proceeding
- » ATIS Industry group should address solution and implementation timeframe